

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in light of the amendments and remarks herein.

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-57 and 59-66 will be pending. Claims 1, 20, 50, and 60 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

Objections to Specification

In Section 2 of the March 23, 2006 Office Action ("the Office Action"), the Specification stands objected to for failing to provide the serial number of the priority document, the U.S. Provisional Application.

The Specification has been amended to include the serial number of the priority document.

In Section 3 of the Office Action, the Specification stands objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Preamble of claim 60 has been amended to read "computer data storage".

Accordingly, it is submitted that the objections to the Specification have been overcome by the amendments and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

§112 Rejection of Claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-33, 50-57, and 59-64

In Section 4 of the Office Action, claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-33, 50-57, and 59-64 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 20, 50, and 60 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Specifically, in claim 1, line 8, limitation "a network media channel" has been amended to read "said network media channel". In claim 20, line 3, limitation "subscribed media channel" has been amended to read "network media channel". In claim 50, line 9, limitation "a network media channel" has been amended to read "said network media channel". In claim 60, line 10, limitation "a network media channel" has been amended to read "said network media channel".

Based on the foregoing discussion, claims 1, 20, 50, and 60 should now be allowable. Further, since claims 2-9, 11-19, 21, 23-29, 31-33, 51-57, 59, and 61-64 depend from one of independent claims 1, 20, 50, and 60, claims 2-9, 11-19, 21, 23-29, 31-33, 51-57, 59, and 61-64 should also be allowable.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the rejection of claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-33, 50-57, and 59-64 based upon 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph has been overcome by the present remarks and withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

It is appreciatively noted that claims 1, 20, 50, and 60 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under §112, second paragraph.

It is also appreciatively noted that claims 34-49 and 65-66 are allowed.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request reconsideration of claims 1-9, 11-21, 23-29, 31-57 and 59-66 in view of the remarks and submits that all pending claims are presently in condition for allowance.

In the event that additional cooperation in this case may be helpful to complete its prosecution, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact Applicant's representative at the telephone number written below.

Respectfully submitted,
Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch

Dated: 6 - 23 - 06

By:


Samuel S. Lee
Reg. No. 42,791

Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP
530 B Street, Suite 2100
San Diego, California 92101-4469
(619) 238-1900